

NAME \_\_\_\_\_

What I found in this draft (out of what is expected for the final thesis) is the following:

<input type="checkbox"/> front matter <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>o a <b>title</b> that indicates the research question and/or answer</li> <li>o <b>table of contents</b></li> <li>o <b>abstract</b> that summarizes the main claim, method, and implications</li> </ul>
<input type="checkbox"/> an <b>introductory</b> chapter <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>o beginning with some kind of "hook"</li> <li>o summarizing your research question</li> <li>o indicating or explicitly stating your main argument (i.e. thesis)</li> </ul>
<input type="checkbox"/> section (or chapter) outlining your <b>method</b> to answering the research question specifying <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>o methods to collect data</li> <li>o methods to analyze data</li> <li>o types of materials analyze</li> </ul>
<input type="checkbox"/> a <b>background</b> chapter (or two) that <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>o reviews the relevant scholarly literature</li> <li>o provides other necessary information for understanding the paper's argument</li> </ul>
<input type="checkbox"/> sufficient number of <b>body</b> chapters that lay out the logic of your argument with <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>o sufficient supporting claims</li> <li>o backed by sound reasons and solid evidence</li> <li>o bolstered by the acknowledgements of counter arguments</li> <li>o in a logical order</li> </ul>
<input type="checkbox"/> a <b>concluding</b> chapter <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>o summarizing the project's argument</li> <li>o exploring the conceptual significance and/or practical application of the thesis project</li> </ul>

General Instructions	does not meet expectations	meets expectations	surpasses expectations
sufficient length for an	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	

masters thesis	
effective visual presentation of data (if applicable)	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>
standard citations for sources in the text and at the end of the paper	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>
clear and persuasive prose	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>
professional: standard formatting, page #, stapled , etc.	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>

Parts of the paper that I found particularly strong were:

- ☐ conceptualization of a do-able, interesting, or important research question
- ☐ serious engagement with the relevant scholarly literature
- ☐ development of an effective or innovative approach (method) to answering this question
- ☐ inventive and interesting argument
- ☐ discovery of new ideas, angles, and findings to address the original hypothesis
- ☐ other: \_\_\_\_\_

What I suggest that you focus on in your revisions is:

*Professor Janet Elix Johnson*